

Thursday, January 22, 2026

## **SCI-FI – AI/TRANSHUMANISM**

Premium POST-HUMAN RIGHTS Series

Human rights were universal.  
Then humanity began to change.  
The law adapted.  
Equality did not.

Created by  
Tetyana & Patrick Boëdec

Developed by  
Monolith Production

© 2026 Tetyana & Patrick Boëdec. All rights reserved.

## POST-HUMAN RIGHTS

### LOGLINE

As enhanced individuals begin to outperform and outlive the rest of humanity, courts and institutions are forced to confront an unprecedented dilemma: should augmented humans be protected by the same rights as those they no longer resemble? When legal systems start redefining “human” to preserve equality, a silent conflict emerges between biological reality and universal rights — threatening to fracture the very foundation of democracy.

### FORMAT

Political / Legal / Psychological Thriller

Serialized series

Season 1: **8 episodes**

Episode length: **52 minutes**

Language: English

Target: International / US premium platforms

### TOPE & DNA

*Post-Human Rights* operates in a cold, juridical, and deeply unsettling register, combining the institutional gravity of **The Verdict**, the procedural tension of **Michael Clayton**, and the speculative realism of **Years and Years** stripped of spectacle.

Dialogue is precise, legalistic, and restrained. Moral debates are framed as constitutional interpretations, regulatory language, and precedent-setting rulings. Violence is absent; the damage is done through definitions. Tension arises from legal reclassification, competing interpretations of equality, and the fear that rights may no longer be compatible with biology.

### VISION

*Post-Human Rights* explores a foundational crisis of modern democracy: human rights were designed to protect a shared condition. When that condition fragments, equality becomes unstable. The series examines how legal systems attempt to preserve fairness by redefining humanity itself — and how protection can quietly turn into exclusion.

### CORE MYTHOLOGY / SOCLE NARRATIF

Biological and cognitive enhancements have created measurable divergence in lifespan, perception, resilience, and decision-making capacity. While initially regulated as medical or elective improvements, their cumulative effects destabilize the premise of universal equality.

Courts face new questions:

- Should enhanced individuals be allowed unrestricted political participation?
- Can post-humans claim the same labor protections?
- Are extended lifespans compatible with democratic rotation?

To preserve balance, institutions introduce **differentiated rights frameworks** — not framed as discrimination, but as **legal adaptation**.

No rights are removed.

They are **recalibrated**.

## **SERIES DYNAMICS**

The narrative unfolds across constitutional courts, ethics committees, international tribunals, and political negotiations. Characters include judges, lawmakers, enhanced citizens seeking recognition, and unenhanced individuals fearing dilution of equality.

Conflict is intellectual, moral, and procedural. Each case sets a precedent. Each compromise reshapes the definition of “human.” The system remains lawful, transparent, and rational — even as universality erodes.

## **SEASON 1 — NARRATIVE ARC**

Season 1 charts the legal fracture of humanity:

1. First landmark cases involving enhanced defendants
2. Judicial attempts to apply existing human rights frameworks
3. Emergence of legal asymmetries justified as fairness
4. Political pressure to stabilize democratic balance
5. Introduction of post-human legal categories
6. International divergence in rights recognition
7. Resistance framed as emotional or anti-progress
8. Final ruling: equality preserved — by redefining who qualifies

The season ends with a chilling resolution:  
human rights still exist —  
but **not everyone is fully human anymore**.

## WHY THIS SERIES / WHY NOW

As enhancement technologies accelerate faster than legal frameworks, *Post-Human Rights* addresses a looming question already present in policy debates, ethics boards, and courts. It explores how democracy reacts when its most sacred principle — equality — collides with irreversible biological divergence.

It is not a story about technology.

It is a story about **who the law protects**.